

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/663,658	09/15/2000	Malcolm G. Smith SR.	ULT-005-2C1P	2181	
22888	7590 03/26/2004		EXAMINER		
BEVER HOFFMAN & HARMS, LLP TRI-VALLEY OFFICE 1432 CONCANNON BLVD., BLDG. G			TAYLOR, APRIL ALICIA		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	E, CA 94550		2876		
			DATE MAILED: 03/26/200	DATE MAILED: 03/26/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

·	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/663,658	SMITH ET AL
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
,	April A. Taylor	2876
The MAILING DATE of this communication a		vith the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a r - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the may earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thi od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO tute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ TI Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice unde	his action is non-final. wance except for formal ma	• •
Disposition of Claims		
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>56-64</u> is/are pending in the applicated 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>56-64</u> is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction. The oath or declaration is objected to by the	ccepted or b) objected to he drawing(s) be held in abeya rection is required if the drawing	nnce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a li	ents have been received. ents have been received in a riority documents have been eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stage
Attachment(s)		
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 14. 	Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 09/663,658

Art Unit: 2876

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 23 January 2004 has been entered.

Claim Objections

2. Claim 63 is objected to because of the following informalities: Claim 63 is dependent upon claim 63. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- 3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:
 - A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -
 - (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 56 and 58-64 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Suzuki (US 5,808,981).

Application/Control Number: 09/663,658

Art Unit: 2876

Re claims 56 and 58: Suzuki disclose a data communication method comprising providing a data card including a magnetic material; inserting the data card into a data unit wherein the data unit includes a data head; moving the data card in a linear first direction through rollers of the data unit to a first position; moving the data card along a first path from the first position toward the data head; communicating signals between the data head and the magnetic material while holding the data card stationary and moving the data head along a second path (see abstract; col. 1, line 18 to col. 6, line 39).

Re claim 59: Suzuki discloses wherein the linear movement of the data card in the first direction is stopped upon reaching a first position and further comprising moving the data card linearly from the first position toward the data head prior to the step of communicating signals (col. 1, line 18 to col. 6, line 39).

Re claims 60 and 61: Suzuki further discloses indexing the data card after communicating signals along the first track, and then communicating signals between the data head and the magnetic material while moving the data head in a linear manner along a second track parallel to the first track; and wherein the data card is indexed in a direction perpendicular to the first track (col. 1, line 18 to col. 6, line 39).

Re claim 62: Suzuki discloses wherein after communicating signals along the first track the data head is moved beyond a first edge of the data card (col. 1, line 18 to col. 6, line 39).

Re claim 63: Suzuki discloses wherein the data unit includes a data head support surface positioned adjacent the first edge of the data card during the communication of

Art Unit: 2876

signals, wherein the data head is positioned over the data support surface during the indexing of the data card (col. 1, line 18 to col. 6, line 39).

Re claim 64: Suzuki discloses further comprising communicating signals between the data head and the magnetic material while moving the data head in a linear manner along a second track parallel to the first track (col. 1, line 18 to col. 6, line 39).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claim 57 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Suzuki (US 5,808,981) in view of Yoshida (US 4,006,507). The teachings of Suzuki have been discussed above.

Suzuki fails to teach or fairly suggest a method of cleaning the data card within the data unit.

Yoshida teaches a method of cleaning a card within a card reader (see abstract; col. 2, line 3 to col. 3, line 25). In view of Yoshida's teaching, it would have been obvious to an artisan of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to employ the notoriously old and well known method of cleaning a card with a card reader to the teachings of Suzuki in order to prevent reading errors between the card and the reader.

Art Unit: 2876

Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Mizoguchi et al (US 5,689,105) discloses a magnetic card data processing device; and Hosoya (US 5,638,345) discloses an information recording and reproducing apparatus.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to April A. Taylor whose telephone number is (571) 272-2403. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday from 6:30AM - 4:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael G. Lee can be reached on (571) 272-2398. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

April Taylor 22 March 2004